G R Evans Vice President Federal Regulatory Affairs

NANEE

February 21, 1996

Ex Parte

Mr. William F. Caton **Acting Secretary Federal Communications Commission** Room 222 1919 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

> RF. CC DOCKET No. 94-1

OOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL RECEIVED

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF SECRETARY

Dear Mr. Caton:

On February 21, 1996, Mr. T. Tauke, Mr. Frank Gumper and I, representing NYNEX Corporation met with Chairman R. E. Hundt and Mr. J. Nakahata and Mr. D. Gipps of his staff. The purpose of the meeting was to discuss NYNEX's Adaptive Regulatory Model. There was a wide ranging discussion of the impacts of the telecommunications reform legislation and other current regulatory issues. During the discussion, NYNEX's views on above referenced proceeding were discussed. The positions discussed reflect those put forth by NYNEX in its filings in this proceeding. The attached document was used to facilitate the discussion.

Sincerely

Chairman Hundt

J. Nakahata

D. Gipps

No. of Copies rec'd List ABCDE

A Review of NYNEX's Adaptive Regulatory Model

February 21, 1996

Legislation Speeds Need For Access Reform

- Mandated local competition opens switched access markets.
- Universal Service Fund cannot solve access rate issue.
- Access reform allows Universal Service to focus on very high cost, subscribership and education.
- NYNEX's adaptive regulatory model provides FCC solution for competitive evolution.

NYNEX's Adaptive Regulatory Model

- Phase 1 Price Cap Regulation Region Wide
 - Framework A Baseline
 - No competitive presence or market entry.
 - Framework B Barriers to Entry Removed and Competitor Present
 - Competitive structure available in jurisdictions representing 75% of lines.
 - Competitor is operational in-region.
 - Framework C Significant Competitive Presence
 - Competitive structure in place throughout entire region.
 - Competitive presence throughout major segments of LEC market.

NYNEX's Adaptive Regulatory Model

- Phase 2 Streamlined Regulation
 - Products and areas are subject to effective competition.
 - A service, or group of services, in the relevant market area are removed from price caps.
- Phase 3 Non-Dominant Status
 - Follows Streamlined Regulation.
 - LEC classified as non-dominant for a service, or group of services, in the relevant market area.

Components of Adaptive Regulatory Framework Change as Competition Evolves

	Price Cap Regulation
<u>Component</u> <u>A</u>	<u>B</u> <u>C</u>
Rate Structure	Pro-competitive Structural Changes
Pricing Flexibility	Increased Pricing Flexibility
Price Cap Baskets	Simplify / Reduce Basket Structure
Price Cap Productivity>	Reduced X Factor
Subscriber Line Charge -	Increase/Deaverage

Public Policy Benefits of NYNEX's Adaptive Regulatory Model

- Provides clear signals, in advance, to the market of changing regulatory framework.
- Provides incentives to LECs to facilitate the evolution of competition.
- Addresses concerns of regulators and competitors that LECs will use pricing flexibility to hinder competition.
- Addresses concerns of LECs and provides assurance that regulation will adapt and keep pace with competitive developments.
- Eliminates continuing regulatory scrutiny of waivers requested in response to competition.